BESTWOOD AND BASFORD AREA COMMITTEE - 27TH MAY 2009

	of paper:	WARD MEMBER BUDGET	NI LOCAT	ION 2009/10							
I liroct	or(s)/	Lianne Taylor Acting Director for Local Wards affected:									
	orate Director(s):	Communities	ioi Locai	Bestwood and Basford							
			ad Manag								
	ontact Officer(s) and Katrina Curnow Neighbourhood Manager Tel 9150276										
conta	ct details:	Tel 9150276									
Otloon	- ff :	katrina.curnow@nottinghamcity.gov.uk									
	officers who	Geoff Walker, Strategic Finance Manager									
nave p	provided input:	Tel 9158777									
		Geoff.walker@nottinghamcity	<u>r.gov.uk</u>								
Relevant Council Plan theme(s):											
	se Nottingham		X								
	ect for Nottingham		Χ								
		n's Neighbourhoods	Χ								
	orting Nottingham P		Χ								
Servin	g Nottingham Bette	er	X								
Summary of issues (including benefits to customers/service users):											
This report outlines Ward member budget allocation for 2009/10.											
	nmendation(s):										
1 N	lote that each mem	nber has been allocated an ind		0							
1 N	lote that each mem f £10,000, which A	Area Committees are required		0							
1 N o B	lote that each mem f £10,000, which <i>F</i> Budget and individua	Area Committees are required al Ward Support Budgets.	to divide	between an Area Allocation							
1 N O B	lote that each mem f £10,000, which A Budget and individual lote that additional	Area Committees are required al Ward Support Budgets. I monies have been made av	to divide	between an Area Allocation ased on the Indices Multiple							
1 N o B	lote that each mem f £10,000, which A Budget and individual lote that additional peprivation (IMD) 20	Area Committees are required al Ward Support Budgets. I monies have been made av 007 and population, outlined in	to divide vailable ba Appendix	between an Area Allocation ased on the Indices Multiple 1.							
1 N o' B 2 N D 3 N	lote that each mem f £10,000, which A Budget and individual lote that additional Deprivation (IMD) 20 lotes that any unsp	Area Committees are required al Ward Support Budgets. I monies have been made avoice and population, outlined in the monies in individual Ward	to divide vailable ba Appendix member S	between an Area Allocation ased on the Indices Multiple 1. Support Budgets at the end of							
1 N O B B 2 N D D S th	lote that each mem f £10,000, which A Budget and individual lote that additional peprivation (IMD) 20 lotes that any unspace Municipal Year	Area Committees are required al Ward Support Budgets. I monies have been made av 007 and population, outlined in	to divide vailable ba Appendix member S	between an Area Allocation ased on the Indices Multiple 1. Support Budgets at the end of							
1 N O B B 2 N D D S the b	lote that each mem f £10,000, which A Budget and individual lote that additional Deprivation (IMD) 20 lotes that any unspace Municipal Year udget.	Area Committees are required al Ward Support Budgets. I monies have been made avance and population, outlined intended in the monies in individual Ward will be reallocated to the rele	to divide vailable ba Appendix member S vant Area	between an Area Allocation ased on the Indices Multiple 1. Support Budgets at the end of Committees Area Allocation							
1 N O B B 2 N D D S the b A A	lote that each mem f £10,000, which A Budget and individual lote that additional lotes that any unspone Municipal Year udget.	Area Committees are required al Ward Support Budgets. I monies have been made avance of an and population, outlined in the monies in individual Ward will be reallocated to the relections regarding funding for all ward and the second	to divide vailable ba Appendix member S vant Area rom the	between an Area Allocation ased on the Indices Multiple 1. Support Budgets at the end of Committees Area Allocation Community Influence and							
1 N O B B C N D D C T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	lote that each mem f £10,000, which A Budget and individual lote that additional Deprivation (IMD) 20 lotes that any unspine Municipal Year udget. Approves that Defingagement budget	Area Committees are required al Ward Support Budgets. I monies have been made avance and population, outlined in the monies in individual Ward will be reallocated to the relections regarding funding for the within Area Allocation budge	to divide vailable ba Appendix member S vant Area rom the t be deleg	between an Area Allocation ased on the Indices Multiple 1. Support Budgets at the end of Committees Area Allocation Community Influence and ated to the Area Manager or							
1 N O B B 2 N D D T th b A A E	lote that each mem f £10,000, which A Budget and individual lote that additional Deprivation (IMD) 20 lotes that any unspine Municipal Year udget. Approves that Defingagement budget	Area Committees are required al Ward Support Budgets. I monies have been made avance of an and population, outlined in the monies in individual Ward will be reallocated to the relections regarding funding for all ward and the second	to divide vailable ba Appendix member S vant Area rom the t be deleg	between an Area Allocation ased on the Indices Multiple 1. Support Budgets at the end of Committees Area Allocation Community Influence and ated to the Area Manager or							

1 BACKGROUND

- 1.1 In 2008/09 each Ward member was allocated £10,000 to support their role as "Champion of Place". For 2009/10 each ward member is again allocated £10,000 to support this role in addition to this allocation further funds are allocated to ward members to further support work to be undertaken, this calculation is based on results from the IMD 2007 and population of wards.
- 1.2 However, it is also recognised that there will still be a need for a central funding pot to support grants to individuals and groups within the voluntary sector and inter ward, area-wide and cross cutting issues. This is important to ensure the transparency and also reflects that some issues are cross cutting and not geographically based.
- 2 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)
- 2.1 To support the Ward member role as "Champion of Place" and provide a platform for a further focus based on the IMD 2007 and population.

- 2.2 To ensure value for money and transparency of the decision making process.
- 3 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS
- 3.1 None
- 4 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (INCLUDING VALUE FOR MONEY)
- 4.1 Appendix 1 outlines allocation of monies for each ward member and Area committee area, showing additional allocations depending on IMD ranking and population.
- 4.2 The continued allocation of Ward member budgets and the continued use of delegated approval proforma's is within current legal powers. The process described in the report provides a lawful basis for taking expenditure decisions.
- 5 RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES (INCLUDING LEGAL IMPLICATIONS, CRIME AND DISORDER ACT IMPLICATIONS AND EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS)
- 5.1 The funds allocated to this Committee are used to address the diverse needs of the various sections of the community and reduce inequalities within the community.
- 6 <u>LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR THOSE</u>
 <u>DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION</u>
- 6.1 Nominal Ledgers
- 7 PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT
- 7.1 Indices of Multiple Deprivation 2007

WARD MEMBER BUDGET FOR 2009/10

APPENDIX 1

Committee Area	Ward	IMD Index 2007	Weighting for population (,000)	Weighting for number of Ward Members	Allocation per ward 08/09 £m	Allocation per ward member 08/09 £m	Amount per ward member 09/10 £m	Increase per ward member for 09/10 £m	Budget 09/10 £m	Total increase
2	Basford	37.8	15.1	3	0.030	0.010	0.010	0.000	0.030	0.000
	Bestwood	46.7	15.3	3	0.030	0.010	0.013	0.003	0.039	0.009
TOTAL BUDGET FOR BESTWOOD AND BASFORD AREA COMMITTEE 2009/10										